Advanced Automatic Control MDP 444

66

If you have a smart project, you can say "I'm an engineer"

"

Lecture 8

Staff boarder

Prof. Dr. Mostafa Zaki Zahran

Dr. Mostafa Elsayed Abdelmonem

Advanced Automatic Control MDP 444

• Lecture aims:

• Have a working knowledge of reference inputs, optimal control, and internal model design.

Introduction

Control problem:

$$\Sigma : \begin{cases} \dot{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}), & \mathbf{x}(0) = \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{u}) \end{cases}$$

Find stabilizing control strategy that

• Minimize objective functional

$$J = \int_t^\infty F(\mathbf{x}(\tau), \mathbf{u}(\tau)) d\tau$$

• Satisfies constraints

 $\mathbf{u}(au)\in\mathcal{U},\,\,\mathbf{x}(au)\in\mathcal{X}$

 $\Sigma_0\in\mathcal{S}$

• is robust towards uncertainty

Introduction

Control problems:

1 The Minimum Time Control Problem $J = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} dt = t_f - t_0$ 2 The Terminal Control Problem $J = [\mathbf{x}(t_f) - \boldsymbol{\xi}(t_f)]^T \mathbf{S}[\mathbf{x}(t_f) - \boldsymbol{\xi}(t_f)]$ 3 The Minimum Control Effort Problem $J = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \mathbf{u}^T(t) \mathbf{R}(t) \mathbf{u}(t) dt$ 4 The Optimal Servomechanism or TrackingProblem $J = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} [\mathbf{x}(t) - \boldsymbol{\xi}(t)]^T \mathbf{Q}(t) [\mathbf{x}(t) - \boldsymbol{\xi}(t)] dt = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \mathbf{e}^T(t) \mathbf{Q}(t) \mathbf{e}(t) dt$ 5 The Optimal Regulator ProblemThe Serve of the term of term

$$J = \mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}}(t_{\mathrm{f}})\mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}(t_{\mathrm{f}}) + \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{\mathrm{f}}} \left[\mathbf{x}(t)^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{Q}(t)\mathbf{x}(t) + \mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\mathbf{R}(t)\mathbf{u}(t)\right] \mathrm{d}t$$

Solution strategies

Closed loop optimal control

Feedback: u=k(x)

s.t. closed loop trajectories satisfying optimality

Advantages:

- Feedback
- Uncertainty
- Disturbances
- Unstable systems

Drawbacks

• Find k(x)?

Open loop optimal control Input trajectory: $u=u(t,x_0)$

solving optimization problem

Advantages:

• Computationally feasible

Drawbacks:

- No feedback
- Disturbances?
- Unstable systems
- Uncertainty

Possible solution 1 : MPC with online optimization

• Solve optimization problem over finite horizon

$$\min_{U \triangleq \{u_{t|t}, u_{t+1|t}, \dots\}} \left\{ J(x(t), U) = \int_{t}^{T_{p}} F(\mathbf{x}(\tau), \mathbf{u}(\tau)) d\tau \right\}$$

• Implement optimal input for $\tau 2[t,t+\delta]$

- Re-optimize at next sample (feedback)
- Optimal control inputs implicitly via optimalization





• Min/max

$$J = \Phi(\mathbf{x}(t_0), t_0, \mathbf{x}(t_f), t_f) + \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

- Min $J = \Phi(\mathbf{x}(t_0), t_0, \mathbf{x}(t_f), t_f) + \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t) dt$
- Φ = Endpoint cost
- L =Lagrangian
- u = Control
- X= State

- Min $J = \Phi(\mathbf{x}(t_0), t_0, \mathbf{x}(t_f), t_f) + \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t) \, \mathrm{d}t$
- Φ = Endpoint cost- final product
- L =Lagrangian
- u = Control
- X= State

• Min
$$J = \Phi(\mathbf{x}(t_0), t_0, \mathbf{x}(t_f), t_f) + \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t) dt$$

- Φ = Endpoint cost- final product
- L = Lagrangian describes dynamics of system
- u = Control
- X= State

• Min
$$J = \Phi(\mathbf{x}(t_0), t_0, \mathbf{x}(t_f), t_f) + \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t) dt$$

- Φ = Endpoint cost- final product
- L = Lagrangian describes dynamics of system
- $\mathbf{u} = \text{Control} \text{what we can do to the system}$
- X= State

• Min
$$J = \Phi(\mathbf{x}(t_0), t_0, \mathbf{x}(t_f), t_f) + \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x}(t), \mathbf{u}(t), t) dt$$

- Φ = Endpoint cost- final product
- L = Lagrangian describes dynamics of system
- u = Control what we can do to the system
- **X**= State properties of the system

Matrix Riccati Differential Equation

 $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$

κ

х

The final condition of matrix P(t) and matrix K(t) is called the Kalman matrix

 $\mathbf{r} = 0$



Matrix Riccati Differential Equation

 $\dot{\mathbf{P}}(t) + \mathbf{P}(t)\mathbf{A}(t) + \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\mathbf{P}(t) - \mathbf{P}(t)\mathbf{B}(t)\mathbf{R}^{-1}(t)\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}}(t)\mathbf{P}(t) = -\mathbf{Q}(t)$

S is positive definite and Q(t) is at least nonnegative definite, or vice versa, and R(t) is positive definite, then a minimum **J** exists if and only if the solution P(t) of the Riccati equation



Discrete-Time State-Space Model

$$x_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}x_k + \mathbf{B}u_k$$

$$y_k = \mathbf{C} x_k$$

The above state-space system is deterministic since no noise is present.

Discrete-Time State-Space Model

We can introduce uncertainty into the model by adding noise terms

$$x_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}x_k + \mathbf{B}u_k + w_k$$

$$v_k = \mathbf{C}x_k + n_k$$

This is referred to as a stochastic state-space model.

Discrete-Time State-Space Model

This is illustrated below:



- X_k state vector
- A system matrix
- B input matrix
- C output matrix
- y_k output (PV_m)
- \tilde{y}_k noise free output (*PV*)
- w_k process noise
- n_k measurement noise
- u_k control input (*MV*)

Observers

We are interested in constructing an optimal observer for the following state-space model: $x_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}x_k + \mathbf{B}u_k + w_k$

$$y_k = \mathbf{C} x_k + n_k$$

An observer is constructed as follows:

$$\hat{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}\hat{x}_k + \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{u}_k + J(\boldsymbol{y}_k - \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}_k)$$

where J is the observer gain vector, and \hat{y}_k is the best estimate of y_k i.e. $\hat{y}_k = \mathbf{C}\hat{x}_k$.

Observers

Thus the observer takes the form:

$$\hat{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}\hat{x}_k + \mathbf{B}\boldsymbol{u}_k + J\left(\boldsymbol{y}_k - \mathbf{C}\hat{x}_k\right)$$

This equation can also be written as:

$$\hat{x}_{k+1} = (\mathbf{A} - J\mathbf{C})\hat{x}_k + Jy_k + \mathbf{B}u_k$$



Kalman Filter

The Kalman filter is a special observer that has *optimal* properties under certain hypotheses. In particular, suppose that.

- 1) w_k and n_k are statistically independent (*uncorrelated in time and with each other*)
- 2) w_k and n_k , have Gaussian distributions
- 3) The system is known exactly

The Kalman filter algorithm provides an observer vector J that results in an optimal state estimate.

Kalman Filter

The optimal *J* is referred to as the Kalman Gain (J^*)

$$\hat{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}\hat{x}_k + \mathbf{B}u_k + J^*(y_k - \hat{y}_k)$$
$$\hat{y} = \mathbf{C}\hat{x}_k$$



Background:

$$E[\bullet] - \text{Expected Value or Average}$$

$$\Sigma_{w}^{2} = \text{cov}(w_{k}) = E[w_{k}w_{k}^{T}] \qquad w_{k} - \text{vector}$$

$$(\text{scalar}:\sigma_{w}^{2} = \text{var}(w_{k}) = E[w_{k}^{2}]) \qquad \Sigma_{w}^{2} - \text{matrix}$$

$$\Sigma_{n}^{2} = \text{cov}(n_{k}) = E[n_{k}n_{k}^{T}] \qquad n_{k} - \text{vector}$$

$$(\text{scalar}:\sigma_{n}^{2} = \text{var}(n_{k}) = E[n_{k}^{2}]) \qquad \Sigma_{n}^{2} - \text{matrix}$$

The above assumes w_k and n_k are zero mean. \sum_{w}^{2} and \sum_{n}^{2} are usually diagonal. \sum_{w}^{2} and \sum_{n}^{2} are matrix versions of standard deviation squared or variance.



Solution:

$$E\left[x_{k+1}x_{k+1}^{T}\right] = E\left[\left(\mathbf{A}x_{k} + w_{k}\right)\left(\mathbf{A}x_{k} + w_{k}\right)^{T}\right]$$

$$= E\left[\left(\mathbf{A}x_{k} + w_{k}\right)\left(x_{k}^{T}\mathbf{A}^{T} + w_{k}^{T}\right)\right]$$

$$= E\left[\left(\mathbf{A}x_{k}x_{k}^{T}\mathbf{A}^{T}\right) + \left(\mathbf{A}x_{k}w_{k}^{T}\right) + \left(w_{k}x_{k}^{T}\mathbf{A}^{T}\right) + \left(w_{k}w_{k}^{T}\right)\right]$$

$$= \mathbf{A}E\left[x_{k}x_{k}^{T}\right]\mathbf{A}^{T} + E\left[\mathbf{A}x_{k}w_{k}^{T}\right] + E\left(w_{k}x_{k}^{T}\mathbf{A}^{T}\right) + E\left[w_{k}w_{k}^{T}\right]$$

$$= \mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_{k}\mathbf{A}^{T} + 0 + 0 + \sum_{w}^{2}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_{k}\mathbf{A}^{T} + \sum_{w}^{2}$$

Step 2:

$$x_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}x_k + \mathbf{B}u_k + w_k$$
$$y_k = \mathbf{C}x_k + n_k$$

What is a good estimate of x_k ?

We try the following form for the filter (where the sequence $\{J_k\}$ is yet to be determined): $\hat{x}_{k+1} = \hat{A}\hat{x}_k + Bu_k + J_k (y_k - C\hat{x}_k)$

Step 3:

Given

$$x_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}x_k + \mathbf{B}u_k + w_k$$
$$y_k = \mathbf{C}x_k + n_k$$

and

$$\hat{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}\hat{x}_k + \mathbf{B}u_k + J_k \left(y_k - \mathbf{C}\hat{x}_k \right)$$

Evaluate:

$$\operatorname{cov}(x_k - \hat{x}_k) = E \left[(x_k - \hat{x}_k) (x_k - \hat{x}_k)^T \right]$$

Solution:

$$\widetilde{x}_{k+1} = x_{k+1} - \hat{x}_{k+1}$$

$$= \mathbf{A}x_k + \mathbf{B}u_k + w_k - (\mathbf{A}x_k + \mathbf{B}u_k + J_k y_k - J_k \mathbf{C}\hat{x}_k)$$

$$= \mathbf{A}\widetilde{x}_k + w_k - J_k (\mathbf{C}x_k + n_k) + J_k \mathbf{C}\hat{x}_k$$

$$= \mathbf{A}\widetilde{x}_k - J_k \mathbf{C}\widetilde{x}_k + w_k - J_k n_k$$

$$= (\mathbf{A} - J_k \mathbf{C})\widetilde{x}_k + w_k - J_k n_k$$

Let

$$\mathbf{P}_{k+1} = E\left[\widetilde{x}_{k+1}\widetilde{x}_{k+1}^{T}\right]$$

Then applying the result of step 2 we have

$$\mathbf{P}_{k+1} = (\mathbf{A} - J_k \mathbf{C}) P_k (\mathbf{A} - J_k \mathbf{C})^T + \sum_{w}^2 + J_k \sum_{n=1}^2 J_k^T$$

Step 4:

Given

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{k}} = E\left[\widetilde{x}_{k}\,\widetilde{x}_{k}^{T}\right]$$

Evolves according to $\mathbf{P}_{k+1} = (\mathbf{A} - J_k \mathbf{C}) \mathbf{P}_k (\mathbf{A} - J_k \mathbf{C})^T + \sum_{w}^2 + J_k \sum_{n}^2 J_k^T$

What is the best (*optimal*) value for J (*call it* J_k^*)?

Solution:

Since \mathbf{P}_{k+1} is quadratic in J_k , it seems we should be able to determine J_k so as to minimize \mathbf{P}_{k+1} .

We first consider the scalar case.

$$p_{k+1} = (a - j_k c)^2 p_k + \sigma_w^2 + j_k^2 \sigma_n^2$$

The equation for \mathbf{P}_{k+1} then takes the form $\frac{\partial p_{k+1}}{\partial j_k} = -2(a-j_kc)cp_k + 2j_k\sigma_n^2$ Differentiate with respect to j_k Hence $0 = -(a-j_kc)p_kc + j_k\sigma_n^2$

Also p_k evolves according to the equation on the top of the slide with j_k replaced by the optimal value j_k^* .

$$j_k *= ap_k C (Cp_k C + \sigma_n^2)^{-1}$$

The corresponding Matrix version is

$$\boldsymbol{J}_{k} = \boldsymbol{J}_{k}^{*} = \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{P}_{k}\boldsymbol{C}^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{C}\boldsymbol{P}_{k}\boldsymbol{C}^{T} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{n}^{2}\right)^{-1}$$

Step 5:

Given

where

Bring it all together. $x_{k+1} = \mathbf{A}x_k + \mathbf{B}u_k + w_k$ $y_k = \mathbf{C} x_k + n_k$ $\Sigma_{w}^{2} = E\left[w_{k}w_{k}^{T}\right]$ $\Sigma_w^2 = E[n_k n_k^T]$ $P_0 = E\left[(x_0 - \hat{x}_0) (x_0 - \hat{x}_0)^T \right]$ $\hat{x}_0 =$ Initial state estimate Find optimal filter.

Solution:

The Kalman Filter

$$\hat{x}_{k+1} = \hat{\mathbf{A}}\hat{x}_k + \mathbf{B}u_k + J_k^* (y_k - \mathbf{C}\hat{x}_k)$$

$$J_k^* = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{C}^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{C}^T + \Sigma_n^2)^{-1}$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{k+1} = (\mathbf{A} - J_k^*\mathbf{C})\mathbf{P}_k (\mathbf{A} - J_k^*\mathbf{C})^T + \Sigma_w^2 + J_k^* \Sigma_n^2 J^{*T}$$

$$= \mathbf{A} (\mathbf{P}_k - \mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{C}^T (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_k \mathbf{C}^T + \Sigma_n^2)^{-1} \mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_k) \mathbf{A}^T + \Sigma_w^2$$

Example:

The regulator shown in Figure 9.1 contains a plant that is described by

$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{x}_1 \\ \dot{x}_2 \end{bmatrix} =$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$	+	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ u
y =	[1 0]	x			

and has a performance index

 $J = \int_0^\infty \left[\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{u}^2 \right] \mathrm{d}t$

Determine

(a) the Riccati matrix P(b) the state feedback matrix K

Solution:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \mathbf{R} = \text{scalar} = 1$$

Solution:

 $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{P} + \mathbf{Q} - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{B}\mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{0}$

$$\mathbf{PA} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -p_{12} & p_{11} - 2p_{12} \\ -p_{22} & p_{21} - 2p_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -p_{21} & -p_{22} \\ p_{11} - 2p_{21} & p_{12} - 2p_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{P} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{R}^{-1} \mathbf{B}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} p_{12} \\ p_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_{21} & p_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} p_{12}p_{21} & p_{12}p_{22} \\ p_{22}p_{21} & p_{22}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Solution:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} -p_{12} & p_{11} - 2p_{12} \\ -p_{22} & p_{21} - 2p_{22} \end{array} \right] + \begin{bmatrix} -p_{21} & -p_{22} \\ p_{11} - 2p_{21} & p_{12} - 2p_{22} \end{bmatrix} \\ + \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} p_{12}p_{21} & p_{12}p_{22} \\ p_{22}p_{21} & p_{22}^2 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$$

Since **P** is symmetric, $p_{21} = p_{12}$

$$-p_{12} - p_{12} + 2 - p_{12}^2 = 0$$
$$p_{11} - 2p_{12} - p_{22} - p_{12}p_{22} = 0$$
$$-p_{22} + p_{11} - 2p_{12} - p_{12}p_{22} = 0$$
$$p_{12} - 2p_{22} + p_{12} - 2p_{22} + 1 - p_{22}^2 = 0$$

Solution:

solving

 $p_{12} = p_{21} = 0.732$ and -2.732

 $p_{12}^2 + 2p_{12} - 2 = 0$

Using positive value

 $p_{12} = p_{21} = 0.732$ $2p_{12} - 4p_{22} + 1 - p_{22}^2 = 0$

$$p_{22}^2 + 4p_{22} - 2.464 = 0$$

solving

 $p_{22} = 0.542$ and -4.542

Using positive value

 $p_{22} = 0.542$

Solution:

 $p_{11} - (2 \times 0.732) - 0.542 - (0.732 \times 0.542) = 0$ $p_{11} = 2.403$

From equations (9.42), (9.43) and (9.44) the Riccati matrix is

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.403 & 0.732 \\ 0.732 & 0.542 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{R}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{1}\begin{bmatrix}0 & 1\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix}2.403 & 0.732\\0.732 & 0.542\end{bmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{K} = [0.732 \ 0.542]$